

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

RASHEED WALTERS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE BOSTON CITY COUNCIL, et al.

Defendants.

C.A. No.: 1:22-cv-12048-PBS

Oral Argument Requested

**MOTION BY THE NAACP BOSTON BRANCH, MASSVOTE, MASSACHUSETTS
VOTER TABLE, LA COLABORATIVA, CHINESE PROGRESSIVE ASSOCIATION,
AND NEW ENGLAND UNITED FOR JUSTICE FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE**

Pursuant to Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the NAACP Boston Branch, MassVOTE, the Massachusetts Voter Table, La Colaborativa, the Chinese Progressive Association, and New England United for Justice (the “Proposed Intervenor”) respectfully request leave to intervene as defendants in the above-captioned action. In the alternative, Proposed Intervenor respectfully request that this Court exercise its discretion under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b) to grant them leave to intervene. In support of this Motion, the Proposed Intervenor submit the accompanying Memorandum of Law.

As detailed more fully in that Memorandum, the Proposed Intervenor respectfully request to intervene for the following reasons: (1) the request is timely because the case is in its early stages and Proposed Intervenor have moved swiftly; (2) the Proposed Intervenor have direct and important interests at stake in the litigation; (3) those interests would be adversely affected by a disposition in Plaintiffs’ favor; and (4) the Defendants cannot adequately protect those interests as Defendants’ goals have dramatically diverged from those of Proposed Intervenor. Moreover, Proposed Intervenor are well positioned to assist the Court in developing a much more

comprehensive record. Accordingly, the Proposed Intervenor respectfully request that the Court grant their request to intervene in this matter.

The Proposed Intervenor also respectfully request that the Court waive Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(c), requiring that the Proposed Intervenor serve an answer, or other pleading, concurrently with this Motion. *See, e.g., Boston Parent Coalition for Academic Excellence Corp. v. School Committee of City of Boston*, 1:21-cv-10330-WGY, ECF No. 27 (D. Mass. 2021) (granting such relief); *American Waterways Operator v. United States Coast Guard*, 1:18-cv-12070-DJC, ECF No. 51, (D. Mass. July 10, 2019) (same); *see also Windsor v. United States*, 797 F. Supp. 2d 320, 325-26 (S.D.N.Y. 2011); *Peaje Invs. LLC v. García-Padilla*, 845 F.3d 505, 516 n.7 (1st Cir. 2017). If the Court allows this Motion but does not waive other requirements of Rule 24(c), the Proposed Intervenor respectfully request an opportunity to file an answer, or other responsive pleading, to the Complaint within five business days of the allowance of this Motion.

WHEREFORE, the Proposed Intervenor respectfully request that this Court: grant their Motion and permit the Proposed Intervenor to intervene as defendants pursuant to Rule 24(a) or, in the alternative, Rule 24(b); and waive the requirements of Rule 24(c).

Dated: May 17, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Oren M. Sellstrom
Oren M. Sellstrom, Esq. (BBO #569045)
Jacob M. Love, Esq. (BBO #699613)
Lawyers for Civil Rights
61 Batterymarch St., 5th fl.
Boston, MA 02110
Tel: 857-264-0416
jlove@lawyersforcivilrights.org
osellstrom@lawyersforcivilrights.org
Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on May 17, 2023, a copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by email to all parties by operation of this Court's electronic filing system or by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing. Parties may access this filing through the Court's CM/ECF system.

Dated: May 17, 2023

/s/ Oren M. Sellstrom
Oren M. Sellstrom (BBO #569045)
Jacob M. Love, Esq. (BBO #699613)
Lawyers for Civil Rights
61 Batterymarch Street, 5th Fl.
Boston, MA 02110
857-264-0416
osellstrom@lawyersforcivilrights.org.
jlove@lawyersforcivilrights.org
Attorneys for Proposed Intervenors